Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/626,585	KEELAPANG ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Stacy B. Chen	1648
All Participants:	Status of Application: allowable	
(1) Stacy B. Chen (PTO).	(3)	
(2) Nuttina Netsuwan (Appl. Rep.).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 January 2010	Time: 8:45 p.m.	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1-6		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GEN See Continuation Sheet Part III.	IERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/Stacy B Chen/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1648	(Applicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Application No. 10/626,585

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The examiner suggested claim language to place the claims in condition for allowance by examiner's amendment. Applicant's representative agreed to the suggestions and also agreed to file a new sequence listing that includes SEQ ID NO: 2 and 3 which are part of the original disclosure but inadvertently omitted from the CRF and paper sequence listing. A notice to comply with the sequence rules is attached.